

# Fort Nelson First Nation V. British Columbia (Environmental Assessment Office), Supreme Court Of Canada, Case No. 37449, 15 June 2017

June 21, 2017

[Download the entire Aboriginal Legal Issues e-Newsletter – June 21, 2017.](#)

The Supreme Court of Canada dismissed a leave application by the Fort Nelson First Nation relating to a judicial review proceeding in British Columbia. The underlying proceeding related to the proper interpretation of the phrase " production capacity " in a BC regulation, and whether a non-binding decision by the Environmental Assessment Office (EAO) was properly the subject of judicial review. Further, the case raises the issue of whether the EAO's interpretation of the legislation triggered a duty to consult First Nations.

The proponent of a proposed silica mine in northern BC took the position that the term "**production capacity**" in the **Reviewable Projects Regulation** means the amount of sand or gravel to be used or sold, not the total amount of sand or gravel excavated in the process. On that interpretation, the mine would not be a "reviewable project" under BC's environmental assessment regime. The appellant Fort Nelson First Nation ("FNFN") submitted to the EAO that "production capacity" meant the total amount of sand or gravel extracted and, in consequence, the mine would be a reviewable project. The EAO provided a non-binding opinion agreeing with the proponent's interpretation and provided a detailed rationale for its view.

The FNFN applied for judicial review of the EAO's opinion, and were successful at the B.C. Supreme Court: 2015 BCSC 1180. The chambers judge held that the "decision" of **the EAO about the meaning of "production capacity" was unreasonable**. In the alternative, the Court held that the Crown's duty to consult had been triggered since the decision under review must be treated as being akin to a "strategic high level decision", and the Crown failed to satisfy this duty.

The B.C. Court of Appeal reversed this decision: 2016 BCCA 500. The Court of Appeal held that the EAO's expression of a non-binding opinion was not a "decision" that could be the subject of judicial review. The determination as to whether a project is reviewable is proponent driven. Although the Minister of Environment or the Executive Director of

the EAO can independently order that a non-reviewable project undertake an environmental assessment, there is no statutory power enabling the EAO to make a decision as to whether a project is reviewable or not. The only way for a third party like the FNFN to challenge a proponent's decision as to whether a project is reviewable is to **seek judicial review of the statutory authorizations enabling the project – in this case, various permits and authorizations under the Mines Act and Land Act.** The Court of Appeal also held that the EAO's interpretation of "production capacity" was reasonable.

On the consultation issue, the Court of Appeal held that a decision as to how to interpret a regulation did not give rise to a duty to consult. Interpretation of legislation or regulations gives rise to outcomes that are general in nature, whereas the duty to consult is designed to apply to decisions that have specific impacts on specific First Nations. Further, the correspondence between the EAO and the FNFN with respect to the interpretation issue was sufficient to discharge the duty to consult even if it did apply in the circumstances.

The Supreme Court of Canada dismissed the FNFN's leave application with costs.

<https://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-l-csc-a/en/item/16682/index.do>

By

[Scott Kerwin](#)

Expertise

[Indigenous Law](#)

---

## **BLG | Canada's Law Firm**

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

### **BLG Offices**

#### **Calgary**

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

#### **Ottawa**

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

#### **Vancouver**

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

**Montréal**

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

**Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2024 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.