

# To ask or not to ask: Hiring foreign workers without discriminating

March 06, 2020

Whether it be in an attempt to respond to certain needs of the business, to diversify their workforce or out of sincere curiosity, some employers have found themselves in a **delicate situation when attempting to obtain information about a candidate's legal status in Canada or about their national or ethnic origin.**

This article provides a concise overview of a few recent decisions in order to warn employers against asking certain questions, as well as to provide practical advice as to questions that can be asked when interviewing candidates whose status in Canada may raise some issues.

## Legal requirements or discriminatory questions

In recent years, human rights tribunals have reminded us that almost any question **relating directly or indirectly - even remotely - to a candidate's ethnic or national origin is discriminatory and must be avoided.**

This lesson was recently learned the hard way, by a company that required candidates seeking employment to have legal status permitting them to work permanently in Canada. They would have to be permanent residents or Canadian citizens. In this case, one candidate had a valid, three-year work permit. The candidate, intending to apply for permanent residence, received a job offer conditional on proof of his legal status in Canada. Unable to produce any document attesting to his status as a permanent **resident or a Canadian citizen, the candidate's job offer was withdrawn by the company.** He filed a complaint with the Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario (the Tribunal).<sup>1</sup>

In ruling on the complaint, the Tribunal found that the company's refusal to hire this candidate was discriminatory because such refusal was based, inter alia, on a prohibited ground of discrimination under the Ontario Human Rights Code, namely the **candidate's citizenship.**<sup>2</sup> The Tribunal condemned the company to pay the candidate the salary to which he would have been entitled for a period of approximately 39 months, as well as damages for injury to his dignity.<sup>3</sup>

Similarly, in a series of decisions, summarized in greater detail in [our previous publication on this subject](#),<sup>4</sup> the Québec Human Rights Tribunal decided that for an

employee to be asked “the origin of his name” in an interview, even if only to stimulate conversation and create a more relaxed atmosphere,<sup>5</sup> constituted an unlawful question **contrary to Québec’s Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms**. That was the case even where such questions were not posed in the context of a formal interview. In these decisions, the implicated companies were ordered to pay sums of between \$4,000 and \$6,000 in moral damages, and even punitive damages on one occasion.

## Best practices

Employers must be particularly careful when asking questions relating to the ethnic origin or citizenship of any candidate, regardless of when in the hiring process the **question is asked and the company’s reason for posing such a question**.<sup>6</sup> A requirement for Canadian citizenship, for example, although it may be justified by internal requirements of staff training and retention, will not justify such questions.

How, then, can employers ensure compliance with their obligations (under the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act, for example), or certain government programs, while still respecting the rights of the candidates they hire? The key to maintaining this fragile balance seems to be the exact phrasing of the question posed.

For example, to confirm that an employee holds a valid work permit or is a permanent resident or a Canadian citizen, they must not be asked about their specific status in Canada or to provide a copy or evidence of that status. Rather, they must be asked to confirm that they are legally allowed to work in Canada.

Once an appropriate precise formulation is identified, this should be standardized on forms relating to the pre-hiring process, as well as in instructions and training provided **to the company’s employees involved with recruitment**.

In brief, and in light of the foregoing, employers would be well advised to review their pre-hiring processes, as well as the questions ordinarily asked in interviews. This way they can make sure they comply with the human rights legislation in force and avoid any condemnations that may be ordered by human rights tribunals. Should there be any doubt about what sort of questions may be asked in the hiring process, or about the legal status of a candidate or an employee in Canada, do not hesitate to contact our [Business Employment](#) and [Immigration Law](#) specialists.

<sup>1</sup> See *Haseeb v Imperial Oil Limited*, 2018 HRTO 957 and 2019 HRTO 1174.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*, 2018 HRTO 957, para 166.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*, 2019 HRTO 1174, para 124.

<sup>4</sup> *Kerdougli c. GE Renewable Energy Canada Inc. (Alstom réseau Canada inc.)*, 2018 QCTDP 7, *Kerdougli c. La Vie en Rose*, 2018 QCTDP 8 and *Kerdougli c. Les Aliments Multibar inc.*, 2018 QCTDP 19.

<sup>5</sup> *Kerdougli c. GE Renewable Energy Canada Inc. (Alstom réseau Canada inc.)*, 2018 QCTDP 7.

<sup>6</sup> Except where the questions concerned are useful in applying an equal access program, which must exist at the time when the question is posed: see Section 18.1 of the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms. For federally regulated businesses, see Section 16(1) of the Canadian Human Rights Act, which also protects employers implementing social advancement programs.

By

[Marie-Pier Emery](#)

Expertise

[Labour & Employment](#), [Business Immigration](#)

## BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

## BLG Offices

### Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3  
T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

### Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9  
T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

### Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2  
T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

### Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4  
T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

### Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3  
T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2024 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.